25X1

S	\mathbf{E}	C	R	\mathbf{E}	T	
---	--------------	---	---	--------------	---	--

9 Sept 1964

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director of Central Intelligence

SUBJECT:

Overflight Pilot Selection Panel

- 1. This memorandum contains a recommendation for your approval. Such recommendation is contained in paragraph 7.
- 2. By way of background, following the Russians' capture of Mr. Francis G. Powers in 1960, certain questions were raised concerning the methods by which operational pilots were selected for overflight programs. As a consequence, in December 1960, the Office of Special Activities promulgated Operations Policy Letter Number 12, entitled "Risk of Capture Briefing of Pilots Engaged in Overflight of the Communist Bloc", a copy of which is forwarded as Attachment A.
- 3. The intent of OPL-12 was to bring to bear the full capacity of appropriate Agency components in the assessments of pilots who could be subjected to hostile interrogation and whose disclosures could result in embarrassment to the United States. OPL-12 addressed itself specifically to assessing an individual's ability and inclination to effectively resist capture and interrogation. The Agency components selected for participation were Office of Security, Medical Staff, Counter Intelligence Staff, Technical Services Division, and Office of Special Activities. On the initiative of OSA, representatives from these components were first assembled as a panel in June 1962 to consider a group of pilot candidates.
- 4. It would be appropriate to review at this point the processing undergone by OXCART pilot candidates before their

Group 1
Excluded from
Automatic
Downgrading and
Declassification

SECRET

25X1

25X1

Approved Folkelease 2005/04/24 CIA-RDP75B001598000200080078-7

25X1					•
	·		ī		

cases are formally presented to the panel made up of representatives of the aforementioned Offices. The first step is to seck from the nomince's military service the relevant personnel, security, and medical records. These files are reviewed by OSA and the individuals are rated on the basis of the file content. Experience in the OXCART program reflects a 50 per cent rejection rate of proposed nominees as a result of this initial file review. If the nominee passes the initial file review, additional processing is pursued. This processing, carried on under the cover of an Air Force sponsored research project, has consisted of the following: a complete background investigation by the USAF or other parent organization, with supplemental investigation by the Agency; a thorough physical examination at the Lovelace Clinic in Albuquerque or the Air Force School of Aviation Medicine and an intensive psychological assessment by Agency personnel. This latter includes estimates by the psychologists of the individual's trainability in resistance to hostile interrogation and also the individual's anticipated reaction to the forthcoming employment proposal. Psychiatric assessments are accomplished during the medical examination.

- 5. When the processing is completed and if the Agency Offices involved in the selection have not taken exception, the nominee is invited to Washington where the employment offer is made by Agency representatives whose bona fides have been established by a senior representative of the nominee's service. If the candidate accepts the proposal he is immediately scheduled for a polygraph examination. If the latter is favorable, the nominee continues his processing toward eventual civilian employment. In the meantime, with the candidate's cooperation, his wife is given a psychological assessment. Similar procedures have been followed, insofar as possible, on IDEALIST pilot candidates.
- 6. All of described processing is conducted with the understanding that any one of the Offices performing evaluations can, in terms of their normal field of interest, disapprove the individual's proposed participation in the program. The practice in the past has been to gradually assemble the complete file on the individual and, before asking the nominee to enter into a contract with the

25X1

25X

25X

25X1

			_		~~		
• •		2005/04/2C. CIA					
		OUVERNATION POLICY		$\sim \sim 44$	$\mathbf{D} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{C}$	120000070	7
Approved Fo) Kelease	ZUUS/IIAIZILATA	-KUP/ODI	JUT	KUUU	12000000070-	
, .bb							•
	-						

	•			
Agency, having the pane	l meet and as	a unit ackno	wledge the	
individual's suitability.		1		
		•		•
7. The question	of the precise	nature of th	e "panel's"	
charter and exact respo				
It has been suggested th				nd
assigned responsibilitie				•
contained in OPL-12. I				•
"Overflight Pilot Select				
cognized for the selection				· · ·
The Panel will consist of				ing
Offices: Medical Staff,	Counter Intell	igence Staff.	Office of Sec	urity
and the Office of Specia				
\serve as Chairman of the				
viding to the other Pane	l members all	available in	formation reg	a ding
pilot candidates to perm	it intelligent i	indaments co	ncerning in	
individual's over-all su	itability for th	e assionment	The purpos	se
of convening the Panel i	s to provide e	ach Office re	nresented an	•
opportunity to consider				
ability to perform the n				
physical and mental hea				
convene the Panel before	e a candidate	enters into a	contract. Pr	rior
to that point members v	villadvise the	Chairman in	mediately of	anv
information obtained du	ring their Offi	ce's process	ing which rais	ses
a question about a cand	datale enitabi	lity One or	more negativ	e
a question about a cand	a will constitu	my. One or	inote negative	
votes by Panel member	s will constitu	le a Panei re	om no nousell	. ; .
candidate. The Chairm	an will report	the findings	and recomme	ologie
tions of the Panel to the	Deputy Direc	tor for Scien	ce and rechno	311s
In those cases wherein				

25) 25)

25X1 SECRET 25X1	
25X1	
	25
	- 48
Deputy Director of Central Intelligence, thereby sethe Panel's position. The constitution and charter in no way abrogates the Panel members' parent Oconcerning professional judgments in a given case conflict in any manner with existing Agency regular	ring of this Panel ffice prerogatives . Nor should it
policies.	
policies.	
(Signed)	
ALBERT D. WI	IEEI ON
Deputy Dire	
for	
Science and Tec	hnology
	•
ATTACHMENT - 1	
As Stated	
	25.
	25

25X1			
			25>
			25>
	EO/OSA/	(1 Sept. 64)	
25X1	Distribution:		•
	1-AD/OSA		,
•	2-DDCI		•
	3-ER		
	4-C/Medical Staff		
	5-C/CI Staff		
	6-D/Security 5.7-DD/S&T		
	8-DD/S&T		
	9-D/FA/OSA		•
	10-SS/OSA		
•	11-PB/OSA		
· . - · . ·	12-IDEA/OSA		
•	13-OXC/OSA		
	14-RB/OSA		
			•
			٠.
			•
			· ·
			•
•			
		2	25X ⁻
•		2	5X1
			J/(
	· • •	. 1	